

Journal of Surgical Case Reports, 2018;4, 1-4

**doi: 10.1093/jscr/rjy073** Case Report

# CASE REPORT

# Use of amniotic membrane in the treatment of patients with BRONJ: two case reports

Mirko Ragazzo<sup>1</sup>, Diletta Trojan<sup>2,\*</sup>, Lisa Spagnol<sup>2</sup>, Adolfo Paolin<sup>2</sup>, and Luca Guarda Nardini<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Maxillofacial Surgery Multispecialty Department, Ospedale Ca' Foncello [Ca' Foncello Hospital], Piazzale Ospedale 1, 31100 Treviso, Italy and <sup>2</sup>Fondazione Banca dei Tessuti di Treviso onlus [Treviso Tissue Bank Foundation non-profit organisation], Piazzale Ospedale 1, 31100 Treviso, Italy

\*Correspondence address. Fondazione Banca dei Tessuti di Treviso Onlus [Treviso Tissue Bank Foundation Non-profit Organization], Piazzale Ospedale 1, 31100 Treviso, Italy. Tel: +39-0422-322951; Fax: +39-0422-322849; Email: dtrojan@fbtv-treviso.org

# Abstract

The use of bisphosphonates has led to a new disease, bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (BRONJ). There is currently no effective treatment for this disease; the surgical approach is controversial. The widespread use of human amniotic membrane (HAM) in surgery and the results obtained have highlighted its many potential properties, including antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antifibrotic and antiapoptotic, as well as its capacity for encouraging epithelialisation and cell differentiation. These properties are believed to encourage the recovery of patients with BRONJ, facilitating the wound healing process after surgical debridement of the bone. We report our experience with the use of HAM: two patients treated with patches of HAM. The follow-up to date, which includes x-rays and clinical assessments, demonstrates good levels of epithelialisation and absence of infections and pain. To conclude, the use of HAM in patients with BRONJ seems to be a promising therapeutic alternative to current conventional treatments.

# INTRODUCTION

Bisphosphonates (BPs) were first synthesized in the 1800s, but it is only in the past 40 years that they have been used to treat patients with bone disorders, particularly patients affected by oncological and haematological diseases [1–3] and benign metabolic bone diseases such as osteoporosis [4–6].

BPs have been employed in clinical practice for many years with good results, but one of the most serious complications of BP therapy is bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (BRONJ).

Human amniotic membrane (HAM) is the inner layer of the fetal membranes and is widely used in surgical and medical applications as a versatile and useful biological dressing for wounds of various origins [7, 8]. The widespread use of HAM is attributable to its many potential properties: anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial, antifibrotic, antiapoptotic, immunomodulatory, epithelialization and cell differentiation-modulating capacities, inhibition of cancer cell proliferation and low immunogenicity [9, 10].

In this study we present two case reports where HAM was surgically used in patients with a diagnosis of BRONJ due to BP treatment, HAM patches were collected, processed and cryopreserved by Fondazione Banca dei Tessuti di Treviso onlus.

# FIRST CASE PRESENTATION

An 85-year-old female patient was submitted by her regular dentist to the single extraction of tooth 46 in February 2016. The intraoral wound was subsequently slow to heal.

Received: January 15, 2018. Accepted: April 9, 2018

Published by Oxford University Press and JSCR Publishing Ltd. All rights reserved. © The Author(s) 2018.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

BRONJ was clinically diagnosed at the patient's first visit based on her history and was confirmed radiologically by the dental x-ray and CT performed in July 2016. X-rays showed reduced density of the alveolar bone edges, particularly on the right where the alveolar bone crest profile was rather irregular. A small residual root was present in the molar region on the right. The inferior alveolar nerve canal was clearly recognizable, on both sides.

The residual root in position 46 was removed on an outpatient basis in August 2016 with sufficient antibiotic cover (amoxicillin + clavulanic acid). Dehiscence of the wound nevertheless occurred and the problem remained unresolved.

A bone biopsy pointed to acute exacerbation of chronic inflammation with lymphoplasmacytic and granulocytic infiltration.

In the light of these results, it was decided in September 2016 that the patient should undergo major surgery involving mandibular bone curettage and positioning of HAM (Fig. 1).



Figure 1: HAM.

Prior to surgery, discontinuation of BPs was requested 2 months beforehand, with the possibility of resuming this treatment once the surgical sites had healed.

In November 2016, the patient underwent surgery under general anaesthesia. After infiltration of a local anaesthetic (mepivacaine + adrenaline 1:200 000), surgery was carried out as described: mucoperiosteal incision of the right mandible with mesial and distal drainage, extensive fistulectomy of the hyperplastic mucose membrane, which showed multiple fistulas, skeletonisation and exposure of abundant necrotic tissue; debridement of hyperplastic-inflammatory tissue and ostectomy of necrotic bone with osteoplasty of sharp edges; positioning of HAM and water and hermetic suture with Polisorb 3/0 absorbable braided thread (Fig. 2A-E). Histological examination confirmed the presence of inflammation and the diagnosis of osteonecrosis. The mesial and distal bone curettage margins were dictated by the x-ray images (Fig. 3) identifying above all the radio-opaque areas, often associated with a periosteal reaction, as well as by the need to remove any bone sequestra present. The imaging was also associated with the intraoperative assessment of the macroscopic appearance of the bone and in particular the signs of bleeding from the cortex and medulla. The HAM was  $3 \times 3 \text{ cm}^2$  in size and was positioned over the curetted area and under the mucosal flap.

The patient was submitted to a clinical and radiological follow-up 180 days after the procedure. The intraoral wound was completely sealed, the patient was asymptomatic and no further abscesses had developed (Fig. 2F).

### SECOND CASE PRESENTATION

An 85-year-old male patient was submitted by his regular dentist to a single extraction of the painful tooth 35 in June 2016; the intraoral wound was subsequently slow to heal.

He was referred by the dental specialist to our outpatient clinic and was assessed by us first in September 2016 when objective examination of the oral cavity revealed a large area of exposed bone measuring  $\sim 2 \times 1.5$  cm<sup>2</sup> in region 34–35.



Figure 2: View of mandibular alveolar process in patient 1: (A) preoperative BRONJ affected area; (B) fistula, (C) curetted area, (D) HAM application, (E) suture of mucosal soft tissues and (F) postoperative follow-up after a period of 180 days.

BRONJ had been clinically diagnosed at the patient's first visit and confirmed radiologically by the dental x-ray and CT performed in September 2016, which showed marked demineralization of the anterior portion of the orbital ethmoid wall on the right, left mandibular osteonecrosis in the premolar region, a small periapical granuloma in position 44 and diffuse upper and lower alveolar atrophy.

A biopsy specimen was taken from the post-extraction alveolus in position 35 which revealed that the oral cavity mucosal fragment showed pseudoepitheliomatous hyperplasia associated with marked lympho-granulocytic inflammatory infiltration that was sometimes erosive, with aspects of inflammatory granulation tissue.



Figure 3: Preoperative occlusal X-ray of mandible of patient 1.

In view of the intraoral clinical picture and, in particular, of the incapacitating pain, it was decided that the patient should undergo surgery with mandibular bone curettage and positioning of HAM (Fig. 1).

Prior to surgery, discontinuation of BPs therapy was requested two months beforehand, with the possibility of resuming this treatment once the surgical sites had healed.

In November 2016 the patient underwent surgery under general anaesthesia (same technique used for the first patient described) (Fig. 4A–D). The mesial and distal bone curettage margins were dictated by the x-ray images (Fig. 5) which identified above all the radio-opaque areas, often associated with a periosteal reaction, as well as by the need to remove any bone sequestra present. The HAM was  $3 \times 3 \text{ cm}^2$  in size and was positioned over the curetted area and under the mucosal flap.

The patient was submitted to a clinical and radiological followup 180 days after the procedure (Fig. 4E and F). The intraoral wound was completely sealed, the patient was asymptomatic and no further abscesses had developed.

### DISCUSSION

To date, the best treatment of BRONJ is still unclear; the idea of using HAM rather than other substances and/or healing aids was supported by the good results obtained.

The application of HAM can be viewed as a promising therapeutic alternative also for patients with BRONJ.

Based on the good results of these initial applications and the positive documented characteristics of HAM, it is possible to envisage using this tissue in the future also to treat other maxillofacial disorders involving overt healing problems as well as for pre-emptive purposes.

These encouraging results can provide a starting point for determining whether the application of HAM allografts can provide an promising therapeutic alternative, curative treatment in the future for patients with BRONJ, in terms of both pain reduction and definitive wound healing.



Figure 4: View of mandibular alveolar process in patient 2: (A) preoperative BRONJ affected area; (B) curetted area; (C) HAM application; (D) suture of mucosal soft tissues; (E) and (F) postoperative follow-up after a period of 180 days.



Figure 5: Preoperative occlusal X-ray of mandible of patient 2.

# CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

This study followed the Declaration of Helsinki on medical protocol and ethics.

# FINANCIAL SUPPORT

None.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Aapro M, Monfardini S, Jirillo A, Basso U. Management of primary and advanced breast cancer in older unfit patients (medical treatment). *Cancer Treat Rev* 2009;**35**:503–8.
- Aapro M, Abrahamsson PA, Body JJ, Coleman RE, Colomer R, Costa L, et al. Guidance on the use of bisphosphonates in solid tumours: recommendations of an international expert panel. Ann Oncol 2008;19:420–32.
- Terpos E, Sezer O, Croucher PI, García-Sanz R, Boccadoro M, San Miguel J, et al. European Myeloma Network: the use of bisphosphonates in multiple myeloma: recommendations of an expert panel on behalf of the European Myeloma Network. Ann Oncol 2009;20:1303–17.
- Alonso-Coello P, Garcia-Franco AL, Guyatt G, Moynihan R. Drugs for pre-osteoporosis: prevention or disease mongering? Br Med J 2008;336:126–9.
- Chao M, Hua Q, Yingfeng Z, Guang W, Shufeng S, Yuzhen D, et al. Study on the role of zoledronic acid in treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis women. Pak J Med Sci 2013;29:1381–4.
- 6. Van de Glind EM, Willems HC, Eslami S, Abu-Hanna A, Lems WF, Hooft L, et al. Estimating the time to benefit for preventive drugs with the statistical process control method: an example with alendronate. *Drugs Aging* 2016;**33**:347–53.
- Mamede AC, Carvalho MJ, Abrantes AM, Laranjo M, Maia CJ, Botelho MF. Amniotic membrane: from structure and functions to clinical applications. Cell Tissue Res 2012;349:447–58.
- 8. Gruss JS, Jirsch DW. Human amniotic membrane: a versatile wound dressing. *Can Med Assoc J* 1978;118:1237–46.
- Hao Y, Ma DH-K, Hwang DG, Kim W-S, Zhang F. Identification of antiangiogenic and antiinflammatory proteins in human amniotic membrane. *Cornea* 2000;19:348–52.
- 10. Talmi YP, Sigler L, Inge E, Finkelstein Y, Zohar Y. Antibacterial properties of human amniotic membranes. *Placenta* 1991;12:285–8.